MINUTES (AMENDED)

MONDAY, January 12, 2026

The Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Joplin met in a regular session on Monday, January 12, 2026, at 4:00 PM. Present were commission members, Drew Kimble, Natasha Klue-Michael, Dr. Rosenberg, Gary Spruce, Amia Warren, Mary Anne Phillips, and Crystal Harrington.

Tyler Bellairs represented the Planning, Development and Neighborhood Services Department.

1. **Roll Call**

   Eric Kellstadt called the roll.

2. **Approval of Minutes**

   MS. HARRINGTON MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE DECEMBER 8, 2025, MEETING MINUTES OF THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION. THIS MOTION WAS SECONDED BY MS. KLUE-MICHAEL. MOTION CARRIED (7 IN FAVOR, 0 IN OPPOSITION, 0 ABSENT)

3. **Public Hearings**

   Mr. Kimble read the Public Hearing Procedures.

   CASE 003-26: Annexation

   Mr. Kimble asked the applicant to step forward and state their name for the record.

   Mr. Bellairs took a moment to address a few items for case 003-26 and 004-26. A previous annexation agreement with the property owner of Wildwood Ranch, James Pinjuv. The annexation agreement was completed in 2009. All of the property within the annexation agreements is supposed to be annexed into the City of Joplin by 2029. There are existing industrial uses along the corridor: Owens Corning, a concrete plant, and a Liberty substation. Staff wanted to identify that there are currently heavy industrial uses along the 20th Street corridor and that this area has been identified as a future heavy industrial uses. The City has also made improvements to the 32nd Street corridor and is currently making

improvements along the 20th Street corridor, to handle the west bound truck traffic. We also have plans for a western bypass that will assist with traffic as well.

Mr. James Pinjuv, 1032 Antelope Rd., spoke in favor of the case. Mr. Pinjuv explained that the property in question has been under an annexation agreement with the City of Joplin but remained in the county until now that the land is under contract.

Ms. Klue-Michael stated that she has been contacted by several people in the community that a data center would be located there. Why is the annexation and rezoning contingent on using city facilities?

Mr. Pinjuv explained that this is a very expensive development and the agreement shows that the property will be annexed into the City of Joplin. The end-user is very specific about the particulars of the property and that it will in fact be in the City of Joplin. There can't be variables left out there because of the type of investment that's required on this deal. We're likely looking at a build-out is probably four years. There are other variables beyond annexation and rezoning which are more difficult to come by. The cost range is between five and seven billion dollars. I have been working on this deal for two and a half years. We are trying to make sure that we have all of our basis up-front.

Ms. Klue-Michael asked that if this is passed and we do annex it into the City and this does become a data center the water, the drinking water, the Joplin water facilities will become an issue and is a point of concern of residents that have come to me and where does all of our drinking water go?

Mr. Pinjuv stated that the two big concerns are water and power. It's an enormous power consumer and relatively large water consumer and there will need to be improvements to the water system and the grid. Those costs will be one hundred percent born by the developer.

Mr. Kimble asked if there were any questions.

Ms. Phillips asked if they'd buy the land or are you going to lease it to them and the contract is for the business to come in.

Mr. Pinjuv stated that is a purchase agreement and that it is a three-step process. I've owned the land for over 20 years, and I am the local developer. There is a mid-level developer of these types of facilities where they do all of the upgrades. Then there is an end-user who has the money to make this thing work.

Ms. Phillips said "eventually"

Mr. Pinjuv said that it will be in the next nine months or it won't happen. Unfortunately, with this business it is evolving quickly so that the things that end users change every 90 days. Right now, it is a race among six companies in America who can afford to do this and that this is a race to see who gets there first. Our timing is really important. The market will in fact change. It's an opportunity that I don't know will come around again. It an opportunity that will put Joplin in more of a high-tech place and bring a different type of talent to town.

Mr. Kimble asked if there was anyone else who would like to speak in favor.

Mr. Morris Glaze, 3321 Deleware, I support both projects, both cases in front of you. Jimmer has been in our community for a number of years and has been the sole developer of Wildwood Ranch, he's knocked it out of the park. He's got homes out there, he's got industry out there, he's got healthcare out there. The city built their new fire station out there. The Catholic church went there after the tornado. I support Jimmer and his projects.

Mr. Kimble asked if there was anyone who would like to speak in opposition.

Mr. Bellairs told Mr. Kimble that you may clarify that this is the annexation case, and that there will be a separate case to discuss the rezoning.

Ms. Tammy Britton, 1386 Augusta Ln, I am neither for or opposed but I have some questions before we rezone this. Data centers have a lot of issues with water and power.

Mr. Kimble stated that rather than talking about the rezoning portion we are talking about the annexation portion. The rezoning case will be next.

Dr. Rosenberg commented that Ms. Britton asked what we would annex the property for. All property annexed into the city is zoned R-1 so the very first project would be that we want to bring this property into the city. The second thing when we get into the second case we'll talk about property uses. If you are objecting to bringing it into the city then you should speak now, if not, wait until we get to the next one.

Mr. Britton stated that she will come back when they discuss the rezoning.

Susan Mitchell, 5128 W 20th, if you put it into the city, how are you going to patrol it. We don't have enough police, so how are we going do it. It doesn't make sense to put it into the city out there. I know that the city owns the road, that doesn't make sense to me either, but I know it's for what is going to go on. Can you answer how you're going to patrol it when the city can't patrol what we got where we already have a shortage of police officers.

Mr. Kimble stated they will defer to Tyler about what the City can do. Secondly, we are an impartial group that is not part of the City just volunteers that come in and hear things. So I couldn't speak specifically like a city council member could about what the police can do, if that is something you still have a question about after this meeting today when this comes to city council, they will be able to speak much more clearly and specifically to you on that topic.

Mr. Bellairs added that for all of our public hearings we hold before the Planning and Zoning Commission we take all of the city department. One of the agencies that reviews these cases is the Police Department. They respond if they have any concerns about whether their ability to enforce our laws and ordinances, but we did not receive any concerns indicating that from the Joplin Polic Department. If they don't respond, then we take that as they are confident they can handle it and there is no concern.

Mr. Kimble asked if a facility of this nature would have its own private security with boots on the ground that would be able to assist JPD.

Ms. Mitchell asked if this space will also have residential or will it just be business. You'll still need the city police department to run the roads.

Mr. Bellairs stated the Joplin Police Department hasn't indicated that they will have any problem patrolling this area and while we haven't seen any plans, it is common practice for industrial developments to provide their own private security and fencing.

Mr. Kimble asked if there was anyone who would like to speak in opposition.

DR. ROSENBERG MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE CASE 0 03-26. THIS MOTION WAS SECONDED BY M R SPRUCE. MOTION CARRIED. (6 IN FAVOR, 1 IN OPPOSITION, 0 ABSENT)

CASE 004-26: REZONE R-1 (RESIDENTIAL) TO M-2 (HEAVY INDUSTRIAL)

Mr. Kimble asked the applicant to step forward and state their name for the record.

Mr. James Pinjuv, 1032 Antelope Rd, spoke in favor of the case. The reason to bring in a high-tech business, we don't know exactly what it will be, there are variables to this that haven't been answered. They will be answered through studies with Liberty and the southwest power pool. It is a large power user and a large water user but any expenses that are required to upgrade the facility to

manage this deal will be paid for by the end user. The local power system will be greatly improved as will portions of the grid. Any of those upgrades will be born by the end user. I think most people here are familiar with senate bill four that passed last year or the year before which is all about data centers and how its wanting to entice data center developers to come to town, that bill specifically excludes any utility provider from being able to go out and do any speculative expense to grow a system that could wind up falling back and being born by existing rate pay. That can't happen. The way this works is that the studies will be done to determine how much power is actually available right now within the southwest power pool, which include a large swath of the United States and two Canadian Provinces. Those guys do the study to determine what if any excess power is available within that grid and can be transmitted to our location for use in this project. That is a time consumptive process, again the developer won't put up the money which is a $200,000 fee for each of the two studies they have two do, one for the SEC the other with Liberty. Liberty, of course, looks at it from their perspective and existing service territory and most of the impact will be on Liberty unless there is power that comes from Saskatchewan or Alabama, that a potential. Anybody who has any excess power would be interested in selling to this project. Then it is a matter of if it can be transmitted here and if can be how much and what upgrades will need to be made to the grid. All of those upgrades will be paid for by the end-user. Once the power studies determine, we know we won't have all of the power that we'd like to have, it's a large amount of power, roughly the size of Liberty right now, it'll come in tranches over the next two to four years. Each of those will come through the exact same studies and again the end user will bear the expense of the upgrade and will never fall back on the rate payer. A common misperception is that all of this power is going to drive up energy rate. This is not a all the case. Here, Liberty doesn't have any excess power, the power is going to come from new generation from some other place in the grid. The end user is not only paying for the water and power to get here but also for the generation itself. So, if in the long run if it goes the way we hope, there will be additional generation on the liberty grid and that will be primarily funded by the end user who will give it to Liberty and Liberty will operate it. They will continue to consume the power. The costs are just staggering. The developer is not going to put in the money for even the study becomes that is non-refundable money which is out the door unless they know they have zoning in place and have community support.

Mr. Kimble asked if there were any questions from the commission.

Ms. Harrington asked what percentage of the property to be annexed in would the user actually purchase, will there be excess property?

Mr. Pinjuv responded that they will purchase the entire site that is to be annexed and rezoned, but that is another great thing about this development that it is a very minor coverage, there won't be a lot of buildings. The maximum, if they were able to get the amount of power that they'd like to get, again we don't know if they will able to get that, the first part will likely be 25% and hope to get a build out, but if they are able to get the maximum amount of power the build-out will be about four million square feet, which on 600 acres is less that 20%. These are not big employers, even if they maxed out at 4 million square feet will likely have 200 jobs. They are high paying jobs, so they don't create new traffic, they create less noise, 25% less noise than the Liberty power plant does right now, they don't create pollution, and they don't create problems that the police department will have to worry about at all. The entire thing will be fenced and secure because nobody can get into a data center other than an employee who works there. Guys that I know who have developed these already who have turned them over to the likes of Meta and Microsoft, once they get a C of O, they never get back in the building. They have really high security.

Ms. Harrington asked if they require the 600 acres for what they're building.

Mr. Pinjuv responded that they really don't but they want to keep competition out, they don't want any other users coming, and they also want to be good neighbors. They're going to provide huge buffering on this. Their minimum setback will be 250 ft. They are laying out the site plans now. They plan to build buffers around residential uses building dirt berms with evergreen trees. They are incredible community partners and citizens because they're in a different world financially that most other businesses and expect people to complain.

Ms. Harrington stated that will alleviate police coverage there. They are going to have very high security.

Ms. Phillips had a question asking if all of the build out would be in Jasper County or would some of it be in Newton County.

Mr. Pinjuv responded that he expects to have a site plan on this prior to City Council meeting in two weeks so he'll have a better idea if the county line runs in there and they may cover the County line.

Franchise takes are enormous property taxes are enormous, there is a lot of benefit to the municipality. At the end they don't create crime, they create exceptionally high paying jobs, from what I'm told, there are six and a half to seven outside jobs created in the community.

Mr. Kimble asked if there were other questions.

Mr. Kimble asked if there was anyone else who would like to speak in favor.

Mr. Morris Glaze, 3321 Delaware Ave, I've been on the JIDA board for 18 years and they just renewed me for another 6 years and I've been involved in economic development through the Chamber, and my business and when I was in sales for Standard Transportation for over 35 years. I've known Jimmer (Mr. Pinjuv) for 25 years. He's the soul developer for Wildwood Ranch. He's not asked for any financial help from the city, he's done all of the promotional work himself, I know he's worked on several projects in the past, some happened and some didn't but the IDA authority actually looked at buying his property about three years ago, but we couldn't afford it. We didn't have that kind of cash on hand even if we borrowed funds from the city. That is why we stayed out at the Crossroads center on East 32nd Street. And we just bought 330 acres on the north side of Exit 13 that you all just annexed into the City of Joplin in November. We didn't have any problems with fire or police protection and that's 13 miles east of the state line from where the city limits of Joplin start. Did you know that the convenient store at Downstream is in the City of Joplin? And they used the I-44 roadbed as a continuity to bring them into the city. We did look at this property. The county commissioners have paid for the improvements to 20th Street to get traffic to the west side of Joplin. And eventually the 249 bypass will be on that roadbed for Central City, MoDOT tells us.

Mr. Kimble asked if there was anyone who would like to speak in opposition.

Ms. Tammy Britton, 1386 Augusta Ln, stated that she is not for or against this, but she does have questions that should be answered before we rezone; one, Jimmer (Mr. Pinjuv) did mention about the infrastructure for the utilities. I think there needs to be more in-depth answers on that. I've done my own research from Warrenton, MO to Jefferson County, MO to Jefferson City. All of them have the same infrastructure problem with your utilities. Those costs do get sent down to the consumer. Also, the water, I don't know if you guys are aware how much water one of these data centers takes, but they take thousands and thousands of gallons a day to cool down. I think that needs to be addressed. Air pollution, you're looking at putting a new building that's going to add more lighting, so that is going to take away from the people that built their houses out there in the country and we're going to have a lot of lighting issues. Now, how are they going to address that? The air pollution. These data centers also use large generators. How are they going to maintain the noise level out there when those generators have to be ran? Also, back to the utilities, when we do have power outages, does the data center come first to get resolved? Who comes first? A resident or a company?

Mr. Kimble asked Jimmer (Mr. James Pinjuv) if there is anything that she's asked or raised that you feel like you'd be able to answer?

Mr. Pinjuv stated that if there were an outage and the system were to go down, there would be on-site generators that would back up the site. There would be new infrastructure to bring gas to generate new power. That is all done with a cross country power line with Southern Star. Those engineering and budget plans are being put together now. They're reached out to Missouri American Water and the water is already available now from the pumpstation on 20th, they would have to build new lines to our property. It is relatively expensive, but in the grand scheme of things, and what it costs to build, off site improvements are pretty much rounding error, unless we needed excess power in Canada and had to build new lines down here, that would be a billion dollars but that's not going to be where we get it from. You heard that the local payers would get the bill but if you read Senate Bill 4 that can't happen, it is against the law. If it were to happen, I am not sure who will get sued but I don't see it happening. Again the five or six companies who do this are now worth more that all of the Fortune 500 companies put together.

Ms. Klue-Michael asked if the new water lines that are coming in are going to be paid by the purchaser as well.

Mr. Pinjuv responded that yes, the infrastructure costs will be paid by the end user. The end user will sign an agreement with the city and/or with Liberty. The developer is the builder/contractor and will not pay it. The amount of power that they plan to consume, let just use a wild number, lets say that they are going to get 200 megawatts of power in the first year, the end user will have to bond the first payment of that for, I believe, its like ten years. So it is about a million dollars per megawatt they have to bond for it and/or put up a cash equivalent. The middle guy can't do that; I can't do that.

Mr. Kimble asked Ms. Britton if Jimmer answered her questions.

Ms. Britton asked are we sure that they are willing to put that in writing and guarantee that the rate increases are not going to go down to the customers. For water, for power, for any of it. We're not benefiting from that.

Mr. Kimble asked Jimmer if he is willing to address that.

Mr. Pinjuv said that the senate bill addresses power but does not know if it addresses water. But it is the end user who will pay for the upgrades. The entire community will in fact benefit from this in a huge way. Primarily because of the type of jobs that will come to town and the wage base is dramatically higher. About two times the county average. Maybe some employers may not like it for competition on employees. The lowest wages they have are above $70,000. The average wage is closer to $100,000, mid 90's. I'm a growth guy, I believe that if a community is not growing, it's dying. That's why I bought this piece in the first

place 20 years ago. It took 17-18 years of hanging on by the skin of my teeth to get it to the point where we had an opportunity like this and this is not something that existed 20 years ago. Like Tyler (Mr. Bellairs) said this thing is planned and mapped in our original design that we brought to the city back in, I think 2008, all of it was planned to be industrial and I can't imagine that we wouldn't get industrial zoning, and if we did get that and we didn't get what we're hoping to build right now the cat is out of the bag, I wasn't planning on talking about that. We've got a rail line running through that, you're talking about intense use of M2. This could be manufacturing and dirty stuff, noisy stuff, pollutant causing, which I also heard pollution causing (as one of the concerns raised for this use). I've not heard that data centers pollute. They draw a lot of power and use a lot of air conditioning but there is no smoke stacks. They could create pollution during a power shutdown where they had to start up their generators but that's not even in their plan. They want to have three sources of power to go to their sight, so if one goes down they still have two to back up. Because like I said, they can't go down because of the data. Obviously they are all wired in, if all three of those sources were to go down then they would kick in the generator. That is a very short-term use. There is a massive benefit to the community. This will be the biggest thing to hit Joplin, Missouri since the mining.

Mr. Kimble thanked Jimmer.

Ms. Britton stated that the only objection that she has with his comment about resources coming into the community, I guarantee that those jobs will only be 50k a year and I bet it will only employ 50 people. I work in IT. People really need to do their research on these data centers to see how many people actually work for these. Thank you.

Mr. Kimble asked if there is anyone else who would like to speak in opposition to this case. Please set forward and speak your name and address for the record.

Ms. Jennifer Jones, 2006 E 34th Place, I'd like to know precisely who's benefiting from having an AI data center in Joplin, aside from the developer who is going to sell his land. What benefits do those data centers bring to communities? Specifically, not future promises of this job and future prospects of other companies coming in. What actual benefits do they bring to a community when they're built. I'd also like to ask what company is planning on coming and I'd like to see some proof that in other places that they've built their facilities that they paid for the infrastructure improvements in those communities. Because again, we have been promised many thing by many companies that have come to Joplin through TIF incentives and different things with full-time employment and benefits and those things have not happened in every instance. I'd also like to know if they are going to produce their own private power to help with the electrical

infrastructure, what type of power will that be? Will that be more fossil fuel production? That does cause more pollution and you can't say that it doesn't if you are using more fossil fuel to generate more power that does produce more pollution than is currently being produced. As far a not being aware, the developer not being aware of any pollution in any other areas, it is a really quick Google search to find people who live in communities next to these data centers that have to fill buckets with water to fill in their toilet tanks so that they can flush their toilet, that have brown sludge coming out of their faucets because they don't have water. I'd just like to know do we think that having the benefits of having one of these AI data centers in our community outweighs the costs to our natural resources, to our people to the people that live around as far as noise pollution, light pollution, everything that has already been said, there is proof, there has to be, this is not the first AI data center that's ever been built so there has to be proof for or against so if there is proof for improvements to communities and people are happy and everything is wonderful, I think we need to see that before we vote on rezoning this property. Thank you.

Mr. Kimble said that one thing that I'd like to address with you real quick, I don't know that many or any of those necessarily impact a zoning vote, they're excellent questions that you have, I'm not diminishing those by any means. I feel like those would be excellent questions to bring to the City Council in couple of weeks whenever this goes to them. And I understand the desire to have answers for this, I don't know if this is something that Jimmer can speak to or not.

Ms. Jones said just as a rebuttal to what he just said. They are going to be paying for everything, their won't be extra pollution, I'd like to see proof of that. I'd like to see data. I'm sure he's a fine wonderful honest man but I'm not going to take his word for it. I want to see some data.

Ms. Phillips said that I think Tyler (Mr. Bellairs) maybe you can sum this up, we're here to rezone we're not to approve a data center. I'm not trying to cop out at all, believe me.

Mr. Bellairs said that what Mr. Kimble is saying and what Ms. Mary Ann Phillips is saying is that the scope of the decision for Planning and Zoning Commission it's whether or not this M-2 Heavy Industrial is a suitable zoning district for this area not regarding the specific use whether or it's a data center, whether it's a manufacturing or a something similar to Owens Corning or utilities uses anything that permitted in the M-2 district. The scope of the decision is based solely on whether or not we think that heavy industrial district is a good fit for this area. So what the Commission has to take into consideration is future land use plans existing infrastructure surrounding characters of development in order to make

their decision but they're not here to discuss the particular use or any development plan that may or may not take place after the rezoning.

Ms. Jones stated that okay if this property is not rezoned are you still going to sell it to whatever. Is this deal going to fall through for him if this property is not rezoned, that's my question.

Mr. Bellairs indicated that yes they can't sell to an industrial developer if the rezoning does not pass.

Ms. Jones said that answers it, thank you.

Ms. Phillips said that I know we keep talking about power and water but don't forget about our friends at the wastewater treatment plant and the sewer because the city is going to have to bring in sewer too. Because we are not going to be on a septic system. So that's another huge, huge amount of money to do the infrastructure.

Dr. Rosenberg stated that is says there that all of the utilities are in place. Is that true.

Mr. Bellairs said that city sewer is in place, that says city utilities are in place other utilities will need to be extended.

Mr. Kimble ask if there is anyone else who would like to speak in opposition to the case to please step forward and state your name and address for the record.

Ms. Susan Mitchell, 5128 W. 20th, I'm asking that you don't pass it because if you do your research you'll find out that the data centers take up a lot of electricity it goes back to the consumers it is not going to hurt just Joplin but will hurt the whole state of Missouri it's going to hurt Kansas and everything just because it is a data center. It's only going to employee two hundred people. Most of them, do your research, doesn't employee hardly any person. They don't have parking lots. It's just nothing but computers running in there constant. It take up electricity and it takes up a lot of water and then it's going to bring down my property and a lot of other property out there and a lot of property values because it's going to be a data center and again if you do your research, and I ask that you just put this off a little bit, you'll find out that they're noisy, there is a constant hum in the air. It's been pretty peaceful out our way but it's not now with the road coming in and stuff but it's going to be a hinderance for people and it's going to cost us knock our property values down, and you know, it's going to raise everybody's utilities they even had a thing on TV I just happen to catch it a couple of weeks ago that I didn't even hear about it and it was people in Texas and I think North or South Carolina that are complaining that their power bills meaning their electric bills was more than their house payment. And they were

complaining like $1,100 electric bills. And I know now people have you know electric bills that are out of sight anyways because Liberty getting all of these. But it is going to be the consumers that is going to pay for it. AI is not going to pay for it, you know. You guys should all know that. It's just a fairytale it's not going to happen. I think it still needs to stay out there residential. The original plans were to put a school out there and you know, maybe a convenience store or something like that in a little area and probably put a school out there I said. I think it needs to stay residential and maybe M-3 to add maybe a commercial store or something because there is a lot of people in that area and a lot more people to come. He may have to wait on his money, you know, to get it from residential but I don't think it should be zoned for industrial. It's going to cost all of the taxpayers. Anybody that works or anyone that has a utility bill with you know Empire electric or water it's going to cost them. You can Google it right now and you can ask, and I didn't look it up, you can ask what an AI data center will do and one of them will say 20% of your value of your home higher utility bills its all going to be there, you know, so, I just ask that you don't rezone it to be heavy industrial or don't allow a data center out there because you know like I said we just live right down the road we're not six blocks from there, It won't be going outside to listen to nothing. There is hardly any traffic out there. There never has been on that road. But once they get that done then there is going to be a lot of traffic, we might as well live downtown or we'd have to live by the mall or something, because it's going to get pretty noisy. And you're going to ruin our neighborhood and you're going to raise everyone's utility bill. And not just Joplin's going to raise everyone's, Liberty bills are going to go up a lot. I just ask that you do your research take a little bit of time before you pass this, it's not going to hurt the council to wait a little longer, it's just not good. Not a good thing for anybody. Whether you live out there or, we all have utility bills. And I think and I don't know for sure but I'm sure the water is going to cool those turbines there gonna knock down. They just put in a bench pipe a few years ago, you know for the Empire plant. So I don't know how big this pipe is going to be but it is going to take our water table down, it's gonna hurt our yards it's gonna hurt the water pressure. It's just not going to be good. Nothing good is going to come out of it. That's all I ask is that you wait, you know or don't pass it. Thank you.

Mr. Kimble asked if there is anyone else who would like to speak in opposition to this case. Please set forward and state your name and address for the record.

Ms. Susan Cook 5729 W 20th Street, we received a letter that said that we were within 185 feet of this development, this change. We've lived at our residence for 35 years; we raised our children out there our grandchildren come and visit us. We have young grandchildren. What impact will this have on the traffic patterns? Has anyone done a study of that. There is already because of the Owens

Corning plant and even when the dog food plant prior to Owens Corning. There'd be large semi trucks going back and forth and we had a concern when our children stated driving. What will this rezoning do to the traffic patterns. We have quail out there large population of wildlife, we've seen the owl population diminish since the residential development of Wildwood Rand south of the railroad tracks. What's it going to do for our quail population, has anyone studied that? There is a lot of water runoff that crosses our pasture, beautiful wildflowers in the summer and wild asparagus because of the runoff water. What is that going to do to the quality of water if this is rezoned to commercial? Has anyone looked at this other than the dollar impact this will have? Those are questions that we would love to see answered and what will this do to our property value. Our 10 acre property is a legacy that we have built for our family. They can come out and look at the stars they can look for comets and we've seen the northern lights. What kinds of pollution will take place out there? Not just air pollution, light pollution, noise pollution, these are things that should be considered before you make the vote to change that and affect our lives. Thank you.

Mr. Kimble asked Tyler (Mr. Bellairs) if he has speak to any traffic or environmental impact studies that have been done or will be done in this are.

Mr. Bellairs mentioned that they are working on a western bypass with MoDOT, the 20th Street improvements are currently underway to handle traffic for expected industrial developments, such as semi truck traffic. 32nd street has already been completed out to Central City Rd. A specific traffic study for the scope of this site has not been conducted, but we have made improvements for heavy industrial traffic, and that dates back to 2018 when this was designated as a heavy industrial opportunity area.

Mr. Kimble asked what about environmental impact.

Mr. Bellairs stated he does not have environmental studies with him now, I would have to refer back to the 2018 report. There may have been environmental studies included in the 2018 Wildwood Ranch Industrial Complex Master Plan.

Mr. Kimble asked what it would take to conduct a traffic study.

Mr. Bellairs responded that we have to confer with our engineering teams. Perhaps we could do that in house. He'd have to defer to public works and talk to our traffic engineer.

Mr. Kimball asked about how long it would take to do a environmental study.

Mr. Bellairs responded that we would probably have to hire a consultant for that.

Mr. Kimble asked what the timeline on that would be.

Mr. Bellairs stated he would not have that present at this time. It would not be a quick turnaround because we would have to put that out to bid, or if the developer did that, they would have to confer with their firms to perform that study in house, but that he would have to get back with Mr. Kimble on that, as he does not have that answer now.

Mr. Kimble ask if there is anyone else who would like to speak in opposition to this case. Seeing that there is none, Mr. Kimble asked to open the floor to the Commission.

DR. ROSENBERG MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE CASE 0 04-26. THIS MOTION WAS SECONDED BY M S HARRINGTON MOTION CARRIED. (6 IN FAVOR, 1 IN OPPOSITION, 0 ABSENT) .

CASE 005-26: REZONE C-3 (COMMERCIAL) AND R-1 (SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) TO M-2 PD (HEAVY INDUSTRIAL – PLANNED DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY)

Mr. Kimble asked the applicant to step forward and state their name for the record.

Mr. Bellairs interjected that the city received concerns about the case that came in late but were provided to the Commission prior to the start of the meeting.

Mr. Kimble restated that the person who wrote the email concern is outside the 185 feet buffer zone for case notification.

Dr. Rosenburg reminded everyone that this case is a Planned Development. This one is planned.

Mr. Bellairs indicated that the developers will provide a site plan for the city council to review as part of the planned development process.

Mr. Kimble asked the applicant to step forward and state their name for the record.

Wayne Stevenson, 302 S. Sergeant Ave. thanked the Planning and Zoning Commission. The final plans, which are being worked on, call for a parking facility for tractor trailers and delivery drivers. The client has continued growth in their business and with that growth comes the need for space and support for their operations. Existing structures will be removed, storm water will be managed either on-site or across the street in the regional storm water basin. Truck traffic will be strictly keep to Industrial Rd. and will not be allowed on Kenedy Ln. The main site is located about 850 feet north of the site that is being

looked at, which provides direct access. They recognize the importance of being good neighbors to the residence to the south who reside in the City of Webb City. They are doing everything possible to discourage truck traffic from heading south on roads that cannot handle the traffic.

Mr. Kimble asked if there were any questions from the Commission.

Mr. Kimble ask if some of the houses along Kennedy Ln were going to be taken out.

Mr. Stevenson indicated that there maybe one house is habitable but the rest of the structures are old sheds that will be removed.

Mr. Kimble as if there was anyone in attendance who would like to speak in favor of the case.

Mr. Morris Glaze, 3321 Delaware, stated that he worked on the JIDA and that they are familiar with the company and that he is in favor of this rezoning. The traffic will have to go on Kenedy Street to Enterprise Ave.

Tony Dole, 3202 Sunset Drive South, they currently have about 60 trucks and about 75 trailers. The improvements will be able to accommodate about 75 trucks and 100 trailers. Travel times on Industrial Drive would be from 6 in the morning until 6 in the evening. Our hours are from 8am – 5pm. We accept deliveries as early as 7am.

Mr. Kimble asked is there is anyone who would like to speak in opposition to the case.

Dan Harrison, 2629 Myrtle St, Web City, lives just south of Kenedy and Myrtle. Commented that the applicant is a good company and that they have brought a lot of good things to the community, however, we are concerned as residents of that area of the heavy industrial zoning can take even more intensive uses. Mr. Harrison also expressed concerns about storm water runoff and tractor-trailers using Kenedy Street and that the zoning should remain Commercial rather than Industrial in order to protect the investments of the nearby residents. Security lighting will spill over into the nearby residences and illuminate the backyards. We'd like to see the area kept C-3 rather than M-2 because we don't want to be trapped with a huge industrial park.

Mr. Kimble asked if there are any plans to keep the storm water runoff from going onto the roadway?

Mr. Bellairs stated that the engineers for Specialty Foods are working on that as part of the site plan. They will have to calculate the impervious surface and take that factor into account. And that will be included in the site plan review when it comes back around. How their doing detention is going to come from the engineer, which will be presented to the Commission and Council.

Mr. Kimble added that he would like to ask a question to the Specialty Foods spokesperson. And respond to how trucks will use the roadways.

Tony Dole, 3202 Sunset Drive, Currently our drivers are not allowed to use Kenedy Rd. It is a narrow lane. I am sure that there are trucks that use that lane but I know that it is none of our trucks. They all come in from Enterprise to Industry to come down that way.

Mr. Kimball asked Mr. Bellairs is there a better zone for this rather than M-2-PD.

Mr. Bellairs responded that they discussed the pre-development phase of this project. The development code indicates that for any off-site parking it needs to have a similar zoning as the parent property. The Joplin 2012 Comprehensive Plan calls for this area be designated as an industrial park. This area calls for it to be classified as industrial all of the way back to the tornado recovery.

Mr. Kimble asked is there is anyone else who would like to speak in opposition to the case.

Mr. Kimble as if there were any questions from the commission.

DR. ROSENBERG MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE CASE 0 06-26. THIS MOTION WAS SECONDED BY MR. SPRUCE MOTION CARRIED. (7 IN FAVOR, 0 IN OPPOSITION, 0 ABSENT) .

4. OLD BUSINESS

None

5. NEW BUSINESS

CASE 001-26: 642 E FOUNTAIN RD – FINAL PLAT – A REQUEST TO REVIEW A FINAL PLAT FOR THE COTTAGES AT EMERALD GLADES , PHASE I – SCHUBER-MITCHELL HOMES, LLC

Mr. Kimble asked the applicant to step forward and state their name for the record.

Ms. Lillian Bryant, 811 E. 3rd St, this is phase I of The Cottages at Emerald Glades located just off of Fountain Road north and west of the Emerald Glades subdivision. This property is two phases and will be connected into phase 6 of Emerald Glades. Phase 1 is 42 lots on the north side with two detention ponds. The second half, phase II will have 40 lots. The houses will be cottage style, 50 ft wide lots. Appealing to the smaller lots that are doing really well in the community right now.

Mr. Bellairs said that I have an exhibit that shows that there will be a connection for this there will be access to this future development so that it does not all go to Fountain Road.

Ms. Klue-Michael stated that that to be clear that you still have to go through another subdivision to get to that road. This will be connecting to a new subdivision and will not be coming off of Fountain Road.

Mr. Bellairs indicated that was correct. There is currently only one road with access so they will have to build another road for more connections to Emerald Glades for access from St Louis Ave.

Dr. Rosenberg asked if there will be no access to Fountain Road?

Ms. Bryant responded that yes, there will be access to Fountain Road and on to St. Louis.

Mr. Kimble asked if there is anyone in attendance who would like to speak in favor of the case.

Mr. Kimble asked if there is anyone who would like to speak in opposition to the case.

MS. HARINGTON MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE CASE 0 01-26. THIS MOTION WAS SECONDED BY DR. ROSENBERG MOTION CARRIED. (7 IN FAVOR, 0 IN OPPOSITION, 0 ABSENT) .

CASE 002-26: 642 E FOUNTAIN RD – FINAL PLAT – A REQUEST TO REVIEW A FINAL PLAT FOR THE COTTAGES AT EMERALD GLADES, PHASE II – SCHUBER-MITCHELL HOMES, LLC

Mr. Kimble asked the applicant to step forward and state their name for the record.

Ms. Lillian Bryant, 811 E. 3rd St, stated that both phases of the development will be built at the same time.

Mr. Kimble asked if there is anyone in attendance who would like to speak in favor of the case.

Mr. Kimble asked if there is anyone who would like to speak in opposition to the case.

MS. HARINGTON MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE CASE 0 02-26. THIS MOTION WAS SECONDED BY MR. SPRUCE MOTION CARRIED. (7 IN FAVOR, 0 IN OPPOSITION, 0 ABSENT) .

Mr. Kimble asked if there was a motion to adjourn.

MS. WARREN MADE TO ADJOURN . THIS MOTION WAS SECONDED BY MS. KLUE-MICHAEL MOTION CARRIED. (7 IN FAVOR, 0 IN OPPOSITION, 0 ABSENT) .

Approved _____

Drew Kimble, Chairman

Approved _____

Eric Kellstadt, Recording Secretary