RS 2022-006

Case 025-22: 2401, 2419, 2423, & 2427 S. Main St. – A request for a Special Use Permit (1st Request) for the operation of an outdoor entertainment & recreation use – Jason Shaw.

Jason Shaw from Chester, SD and we are looking to bring a business to the Joplin Area. We would like to move a food trailer into the area and allow outside activities. We would like to bring employment into Joplin. Eventually we would like to go brick and mortar,

Ms. Brueckner-Sears mention she saw on the plans you submitted, you do have restrooms, are they port-a-potties or permanent?

Mr. Shaw stated that the original thought was port-a-potties, but in the documentation with conditions it states permanent.

Mr. Eastman wanted to know about the hours of operation.

Mr. Shaw stated they are Monday- Closed, Tuesday through Thursday – 10:00am to 8:00pm, Friday and Saturday-8:00am to 10:00pm and Sunday-8:00am to 2:30pm.

Ms. Brueckner-Sears asked if there was anyone that would like to speak in favor of this case? There were not. Ms. Brueckner-Sears asked in there anyone who like to speak against this request?

Amanda Giebler, 211 Foxfire Lane, Joplin, MO, also owned commercial property at 2402 S. Main St., which is directly across the street. More of just questions than a protest. The hours of the business that is being presented seem more of a permanent fixture than temporary. We had to have to uphold the guidelines when we opened our business. Just curious where this temporary business falls in those guidelines. What about their parking?

Mr. Stanton stated that any permanent fixture on the property will need to meet the requirements of the South Main Corridor including the restrooms. They have indicated a capacity of thirty parking spaces, and they would fall under the regulations for a different use.

Mr. Kimble wanted to know on the parking lot area is that just kept as is or will it be paved?

Mr. Stanton stated they are using the parking lot that has been left behind.

Ms. Brueckner-Sears asked if there was anyone else to speak against this request? There were not.

MR. EASTMAN MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. MCCULLOUGH THAT CASE 025-22 BE FORWARDED TO THE CITY COUNCIL WITH THE RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL. MOTION CARRIED WITH MS. BRUECKNER-SEARS, MR. EASTMAN, MR. MCCULLOUGH, MS. STEELE, AND MR. KIMBLE, "AYE" MS. WARREN, AND MS. PHILLIPS VOTED "NAY" (5 IN FAVOR, 2 NAYS)